

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/527,984	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ITOH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Aung S. Moe	<b>Art Unit</b> 2685	

**All Participants:**

(1) Aung S. Moe.

(2) Sungho Hong (Reg. No. 54,571).

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 March 2006

**Time:** 11:00AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*1, 11, 21, 32-34 and 60*

Prior art documents discussed:


*none*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*the applicant's representative agree with the examiner to make changes to the claims 1, 11, 21, 32-34 and 60 as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment (see attached)*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**AUNG MOE**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)